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Summary

Before analyzing the survey data, synthetic modelling of the ZTEM data is presented, to illustrate the strengths and limitations of that system.

ZTEM is a passive helicopter-borne system that measures the magnetic field response in the frequency range 30-720 Hz of naturally occurring currents in the subsurface. The resolution of this system is analyzed by forward modeling and inverting synthetic ZTEM data using a 2D algorithm for a range of conductivity scenarios.

ZTEM data acquired across the Mt Milligan Cu-Au porphyry system are compared with overlapping VTEM data. Conductivity-depth sections derived from both data sets show broad agreement, but indicate better spatial resolution for the VTEM data. Neither data set appears to show a significant response from the Cu-Au mineralization. Products derived from the ZTEM data, including apparent conductivity, phase and Karous-Hjelt filtered grids appear to map geologic structure.

Introduction

The ZTEM system has been available for commercial surveys for only a couple of years and reported case studies are therefore limited (Legault et al., 2009a, 2009b). It measures the magnetic-field response of naturally occurring subsurface currents, induced by far-away lightning discharges. By comparison, the VTEM system measures the magnetic-field response due to currents induced in the subsurface by the transmitter the system is carrying and many case studies have been reported in the literature (e.g. Witherly and Irvine, 2007).

As part of Geoscience BC’s QUEST project, both the Geotech VTEM and ZTEM systems have been flown over Mount Milligan, an alkalic Cu-Au porphyry system located in British Columbia, 155 km northwest of Prince George. It should be noted that this type of mineralization is expected to be a difficult target for an electromagnetic system due to generally being associated with relatively low conductivities. However, by being sensitive to conductivity contrasts, the ZTEM system might have an advantage in resistive terrain. The overlap of data from both surveys allow for a direct comparison of the spatial resolution and depth penetration of the two systems.

The survey flight paths overlain on the Mt Milligan geology are shown in Figure 1. The VTEM data were acquired in 2007 at a line spacing of 200 m; the ZTEM survey was flown in 2008 with a line spacing of 250 m.

Synthetic ZTEM data

Synthetic ZTEM profiles were forward modeled and inverted using a 2D MT algorithm, developed by Constable and Wannamaker (deGroot-Hedlin and Constable, 1990; Wannamaker at al., 1987; deLugao and Wannamaker, 1996). The inversion fitted the data to a RMS error of 1.3, which has been determined as a representative target RMS for the modeling of survey data.

Synthetic modeling results are shown in Figures 2-4. A flying height of 80 m was modeled. The insensitivity of the ZTEM system to one-dimensional or layered conductivity structures is illustrated in Figure 2. Since the vertical magnetic field, due to plane-wave excitation (magnetotelluric Hz response) is zero above a 1D earth, the ZTEM system tipper-functions Tzx and Tzy, that are determined from the magnetic field observations by statistical analysis of the relationship

\[ H_z = \begin{bmatrix} T_{zx} & T_{zy} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_x \\ H_y \end{bmatrix} \] (1)

show no response above a layered-earth. However, the 2D inversion will still derive a resistive half-space since that
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The ZTEM system shows a strong $T_{zx}$ response at the contact of the two quarter-spaces and the 2D inversion resolves the conductivity contrast well. However, the modeled conductivity structure at the contact could be mistaken for a discrete conductor.

The ZTEM response across a tabular conductor is shown in Figure 4. The ZTEM system shows a strong $T_{zx}$ response above the conductor and the 2D inversion gives a good indication of the conductor’s presence, albeit at a depth range that is shallower than the actual depth of the conductor.

Mt Milligan Survey

Comparison VTEM – ZTEM

A conductivity-depth section derived from VTEM data by layered-earth inversion is shown in Figure 5. The line shown crosses the Cu-Au mineralization, for which there is no indication on the conductivity-depth section.

The derived conductivity-structure of that line was forward modelled to predict the expected ZTEM response using the 2D MT algorithm, taking into account the system elevation. Since the ZTEM line coincident with the VTEM data is longer, the conductivity structure was extrapolated at both ends. A comparison between observed and predicted ZTEM data is shown in Figure 5. There is overall agreement in the profile shape, but some difference in amplitudes. The observed ZTEM quadrature profile displays strong signal modulation most likely caused by bird swing.

Next, the ZTEM data were modelled using the 2D inversion algorithm by Constable and Wannamaker. The model is used to initialize the inversion. In Equation (1), the vertical magnetic field is measured in the air, and the horizontal components are recorded with a fixed ground station.

The sensitivity to two-dimensional conductivity structures is demonstrated with the quarter-space model in Figure 3.

The ZTEM system shows a strong $T_{zx}$ response at the contact of the two quarter-spaces and the 2D inversion resolves the conductivity contrast well. However, the modeled conductivity structure at the contact could be mistaken for a discrete conductor.

The ZTEM response across a tabular conductor is shown in Figure 4. The ZTEM system shows a strong $T_{zx}$ response above the conductor and the 2D inversion gives a good indication of the conductor’s presence, albeit at a depth range that is shallower than the actual depth of the conductor.
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![Figure 2: Observed and modelled ZTEM responses above a layered-earth conductivity structure.](image1)

![Figure 3: Observed and modelled ZTEM responses across a contact of quarter-spaces.](image2)

![Figure 4: Observed and modelled ZTEM responses above a tabular conductor.](image3)

![Figure 5: Conductivity-depth section derived from VTEM data (top), extrapolated conductivity-depth section used to predict ZTEM data, observed and predicted ZTEM inphase and quadrature profiles (bottom).](image4)
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Conductivity-depth grids have been derived from the conductivity-depth sections of all ZTEM lines. Figure 7 shows the conductivity at shallow and intermediate depths. Conductivity-depth grids derived from VTEM inversions are inserted on the spatially more extensive ZTEM grids. The two data sets agree on mapping the western edge of the conductive unit associated with the Great Eastern Fault Zone.

Karous-Hjelt Filter

Pseudo-sections derived with the Karous-Hjelt filter (Karous and Hjelt, 1983) can be useful to extract subtle conductors from the ZTEM profiles. The main property of the Karous-Hjelt filter is to turn cross-overs into peaks. Figure 8 shows pseudo-sections derived from the inphase profile of each frequency. Even though the derivation of Karous-Hjelt sections is far less sophisticated than running 2D inversions, these sections agree overall with the 2D inversion result, mapping near-surface conductors to the east and resistive material to the west.

Near-surface grids of the Karous-Hjelt filtered ZTEM data are shown in Figure 9. These grids are similar to divergence grids generated by Geotech that are based on the VLF peaker derivation (Pedersen et al., 1994). The latter however makes use of the spatial derivatives of the Tzx and Tzy Tippers, whereas the Karous-Hjelt filter is derived only from the Tzx Tipper data. These grids indicate geologic structures striking NNW-SSE, including the Great Eastern Fault Zone.
Analysis of ZTEM data at Mt Milligan

Figure 9: Karous-Hjelt near-surface grids. The entire survey is shown in the left panels, a close-up in the right panels, with known mineralizations outlined in black.

Figure 10: Apparent conductivities derived jointly from ZTEM Tzx and Tzy responses 30-360 Hz. The entire survey is shown in the left panels, a close-up in the right panels, with known mineralizations outlined in black.

Apparent Conductivity and Phase
The derivation of apparent conductivity and phase from VLF data is discussed by Becken and Pedersen (2003). The method has been applied to the Mt Milligan ZTEM data, making joint use of the Tzx and Tzy tippers. The derived apparent conductivities and phases are shown in Figures 10 and 11. These images indicate geological structures, such as the Great Eastern Fault Zone, and a conductive zone west of the known mineralization.

Conclusions
The analysis of VTEM and ZTEM data at Mt Milligan has indicated that conductivity-sections and conductivity-depth grids derived from ZTEM data via 2D inversion show less spatial resolution than corresponding VTEM products. However, some of the products derived from ZTEM data, including apparent conductivity grids, complement the information gained from a VTEM survey.

Although the resistive stock is discernable, the ZTEM data and derived products do not appear to indicate the known mineralization at the Mt Milligan porphyry copper deposit, a difficult exploration target for electromagnetic surveys due to its expected low conductivity.

Figure 11: Phases derived jointly from ZTEM Tzx and Tzy responses 30-360 Hz.
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